DRAFT Guidance Note –
Environmental Outcomes for mining proposals

PURPOSE
The purpose of this guidance note is to provide additional practical advice relating to the preparation of site specific environmental outcomes for inclusion in Mining Proposals being prepared in accordance with the ‘Guideline for Mining Proposals in Western Australia, April 2016’ (the 2016 MP Guideline).

OBJECTIVES
The objectives of this guidance note are to provide advice on:
- what constitutes the scope of a site specific environmental outcomes over the mine life cycle;
- writing performance criteria that are specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and time bound (SMART);
- the relevance of monitoring in an adaptive management framework;
- avoiding duplicate environmental outcomes; and
- worked examples of environmental outcomes and performance criteria which are used to track progress toward meeting the environmental outcomes and achieving closure objectives.

SCOPE
This guidance note specifically relates to environmental outcomes and is applicable to proponents preparing environmental risk assessments and environmental outcomes for inclusion in Mining Proposals submitted under the ‘Guideline for Mining Proposals in Western Australia, April 2016’ (the 2016 MP Guideline). This guidance note should be read in conjunction with the 2016 MP Guideline, which stipulates requirements for environmental outcomes.

Please note the examples provided in this guidance may not be applicable to all sites. It is important to consider site specific conditions when undertaking the risk assessment.

DOCUMENT HIERARCHY
The following documents guide the assessment and approval of Mining Proposals and Mine Closure Plans.

Legislation and Statutory Guidelines:
- Relevant Legislation; regulations and conditions – Mining Act 1978 and Mining Regulations 1981
- ‘Guideline for Mining Proposals in Western Australia, April 2016’ (the 2016 MP Guideline – mandatory for all new project sites from 1 January 2017)
- ‘Guidelines for Mining Proposals in Western Australia, February 2006’.

Policy:
- Environmental Regulatory Strategy

Technical Guidance:
- Guidance note – environmental risk assessment for mining proposals and mine closure plans
- Guidance note – environmental outcomes for mining proposals
It is important to distinguish this guidance note from the ‘statutory guidelines’ for Mining Proposals and Mine Closure Plans that are formally approved under the Mining Act 1978. A Mining Proposal and a Mine Closure Plan submitted to the Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DMIRS) for assessment and approval must be in the form required by the relevant statutory guideline, and contain information of the kind required by that guideline (see Section 70O of the Mining Act 1978). These ‘statutory guidelines’ are the:

- ‘Guideline for Mining Proposals in Western Australia, April 2016’ (the 2016 MP Guideline – mandatory for all new project sites from 1 January 2017).
- ‘Guidelines for Mining Proposals in Western Australia, February 2006’.

Please note that the guidance notes have no statutory basis, and are provided to support proponents in the preparation of their applications.

GUIDANCE
Appropriate site-specific environmental outcomes should be developed based on the results of the risk assessment. DMIRS’ assessment will take into account if the environmental outcomes:

- have considered the DMIRS’s environmental objectives (refer to 2016 MP Guideline),
- include performance criteria which are specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and time bound (SMART),
- are suitable to act as site-specific conditions that are enforceable,
- are significant enough to warrant reporting any exceedance to DMIRS; and,
- are significant enough for an exceedance to be considered a breach of tenement conditions.

The above bullet points should be taken into account when considering whether an outcome is necessary.

1. Scope of environmental outcomes

1.1 When is an outcome required?

The environmental risk assessment should be used to determine which environmental risk pathways need to have site-specific environmental outcomes. Environmental outcomes are the acceptable level of impact that must not be exceeded, or a level of protection/performance/result that must be achieved, for the mine site to be considered compliant. Environment outcomes must be achievable and measurable to provide certainty for the proponent, DMIRS and stakeholders that particular outcomes will be achieved.

DMIRS recommends that outcomes only need to be set for those risk pathways that present a moderate to extreme risk (pre-treatment) and any risk pathways that need to be measured to demonstrate/confir that the project won’t have an unacceptable environmental impact. Depending on the risk assessment methodology and site specific conditions, not all moderate risks will require an outcome. It is recommended that outcomes are provided for moderate risks if the residual risk is still moderate post treatment. If treatments are applied which adequately eliminate the risk, then the environmental impacts may be avoided and an outcome may not be required.

DMIRS has developed a flow chart to assist with determining when an outcome is required (Appendix 1).

1.2 Outcomes that are regulated by another agency

The Environmental Legislative Framework section of the Mining Proposal should clearly delineate which environmental factors are directly regulated by another agency or legislation which is not administered by DMIRS (e.g. ministerial conditions issued under Part IV of the Environmental Protection Act 1986, or licence conditions issued under Part V of the Environmental Protection Act 1986). Environmental outcomes that are regulated by other legislation do not necessarily need to be included in the Environmental Outcomes and Reporting section of the Mining Proposal unless proponents feel greater clarity on these is required. If environmental outcomes regulated by another agency/legislation are going to be included in the Mining Proposal, it is recommended these be listed in a separate table from that detailing the outcomes regulated by DMIRS.

For each approval or statutory requirement, it is important to state the specific environmental factor(s) that this will regulate. This will enable DMIRS’s assessment to focus on the factors that are not directly regulated by another agency or covered by another regulatory requirement.

---

1 This list is correct as of the date this guidance was published. It is always advisable to refer to DMIRS’s website for any updates or changes to these guidelines.
In some instances the approvals or requirements of other legislation may only be regulated during specific scenarios (e.g. operations) and may not be directly applicable to mine closure or care and maintenance. If potential impacts to environmental factors are also applicable during care and maintenance or post closure (e.g. groundwater level and quality) the outcomes are required to be included in the table regulated by DMIRS.

2. Writing Environmental Outcomes for Assessment and Approval

Environmental outcomes must be proposed by the proponent and will be agreed by DMIRS when the Mining Proposal is approved. The collection of baseline data is essential in understanding the current site-specific condition and how best to define and measure an appropriate outcome relevant to the impacts of the proposed action. Baseline data provides the benchmark which outcomes and performance criteria are compared to over the life of an approval.

An outcome should:
- be adapted to the specific environmental risks of the project site.
- be expressed in the form of a specific outcome. Outcomes should consider:
  - an impact that will be avoided (e.g. no new weed species introduced by mining activities)
  - a level of impact that will not be exceeded (e.g. no clearing outside of the approved disturbance envelope or no impact to surface or groundwater acidity beyond the range of natural variability as a result of potentially acid forming material), and
  - a level of protection that will be achieved (e.g. no impact to corridor vegetation).
- be capable of objective monitoring, measurement and reporting, and
- allow for the timely identification, appropriate resolution and the adaptive management of potential problems that may arise through the course of a project that could compromise the achievement of outcomes.

3. Writing SMART performance criteria for Assessment and Approval

Environmental performance criteria form the basis on which performance in achieving the agreed environmental outcomes is measured and reported to DMIRS. Performance criteria are used to determine compliance and must be specific, measurable, achievable, realistic and time bound (SMART) and must consider site specific conditions. The performance criteria state the targets to be achieved and define the limits for monitoring and environmental reporting.

Specific: specify an outcome to be achieved.

Measurable: include quantifiable performance measures and that can be readily compared over time.

Achievable: realistic when compared with baseline performance and resources available.

Relevant: to the objectives that are being measured and the risks being managed and flexible enough to adapt to changing circumstances without compromising objectives

Time-bound: include specific timeframes for the completion of the outcome so that the criteria can be monitored over an appropriate time frame to ensure the results are robust.

Performance criteria are the measures used to track progress toward meeting the environmental outcomes and achieving closure objectives. Where completion criteria\(^2\) focus on the end outcome, performance criteria provide milestones which can be used, independent of the completion criteria, to assess whether the long-term closure objectives are likely to be achieved. Performance criteria can include activities undertaken during operations which support the achievement of closure objectives.

The purpose of the performance criteria is to make sure that environmental outcomes are measurable and demonstrate that the acceptable level of impact will not be exceeded or a level of protection/performance/result is being achieved. As far as practicable, performance criteria should be specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time bound (SMART) and should be informed by baseline data results or industry standards. Both outcomes and performance criteria form part of a proponent’s approval commitments. When wording outcomes and performance criteria, proponents should ensure these are practical and achievable for the site. An example of writing SMART criteria is provided in Appendix 2.

Monitoring and reporting site performance against the performance criteria specified in the Mining Proposal is necessary in order to determine the success in achieving outcomes. Any breach of an environmental outcome or performance criteria in the approved mining proposal must be reported to the Executive Director, Environment Division within 24 hours of the lessee becoming aware of the occurrence of the incident.

\(^2\) Completion criteria are necessary to provide the basis on which successful rehabilitation and mine closure and achievements of closure objectives are determined
In most circumstances, actions/management measures should not be listed in the performance criteria to allow for adaptive management as required.

In situations where there is a long lag-time between environmental management actions and a potential impact (for example the management of topsoil or materials with the potential to leach contaminants over the long-term) the performance criteria should be staged. Staged criteria could consider each phase of mining and its impact on the end outcome. Staged criteria for the management of materials with the potential to leach contaminants over the long-term could include:

- ongoing characterisation of mined materials (ongoing throughout operations)
- segregation and storage of problematic materials before adequate encapsulation (ongoing throughout operations), and
- encapsulation of problematic materials according to design provided in Appendix X (rehab/closure).

Where referring to management via an internal procedure (e.g. Materials Handling Plan) these should be included as Appendices. Where referring to an Industry Standard document, these do not need to be included as Appendices.

4. Monitoring

Monitoring is undertaken to determine whether performance criteria in the Mining Proposal and completion criteria in the Mine Closure Plan are being met and if remedial action is required. Useful monitoring requires the establishment of baseline data and reference sites and regular monitoring after the commencement of activities. Monitoring may include the location of monitoring sites, reference sites, parameters, frequency, timing and methods for data collection and analysis.

Specific monitoring is required for each performance criteria at an agreed schedule. Monitoring should be sensitive enough to detect early changes in the environmental conditions on site, so that corrective or preventative actions can be implemented well in advance of an environmental outcome being breached. Trigger activities should be implemented before performance criteria are breached.

5. Avoiding duplicate outcomes

Outcomes should not be duplicated. Several outcomes can be broadly covered by one well worded outcome. For example the outcome "Hypersaline water use will not result in significant adverse environmental impacts" could be used to cover the examples listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Factor</th>
<th>DMIRS Objective</th>
<th>Risk Pathway</th>
<th>Environmental Outcome</th>
<th>Performance Criteria</th>
<th>Monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity/Flora/Fauna/Ecosystem</td>
<td>To maintain representation, diversity, viability and ecological function at the species, population and community levels.</td>
<td>Hypersaline water used in dust suppression results in death or a decline in health of vegetation,</td>
<td>Overspray of hypersaline water does not significantly impact surrounding vegetation</td>
<td>Dust suppression activities are limited to target areas No hypersaline water is released into the surrounding vegetated areas or any undisturbed areas</td>
<td>Visual (photo) assessment of roadside vegetation will be conducted monthly Vegetation health quadrats will be monitored monthly at the locations shown on Figure XX. Annual vegetation health surveys will be conducted and include photo point, quadrat and analogue/reference sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity/Flora/Fauna/Ecosystem</td>
<td>As above</td>
<td>As above</td>
<td>Decline in health of vegetation resulting from hypersaline water leaks is minimised</td>
<td>No hypersaline water is released into adjacent areas, vegetated areas or any undisturbed areas</td>
<td>As above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Outcomes from Table 1 have been consolidated to avoid duplication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Factor</th>
<th>DMIRS Objective</th>
<th>Risk Pathway</th>
<th>Environmental Outcome</th>
<th>Performance Criteria</th>
<th>Monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity/Flora/Fauna/Ecosystem</td>
<td>To maintain representation, diversity, viability and ecological function at the species, population and community levels.</td>
<td>Hypersaline water used in dust suppression results in death or a decline in health of vegetation,</td>
<td>Hypersaline water use will not result in impacts to biological diversity and ecological function.</td>
<td>Dust suppression activities are limited to transport corridors and operational areas.</td>
<td>Visual (photo) assessment of roadside vegetation will be conducted monthly. Vegetation health transects will be monitored monthly at the locations shown on Figure XX. Annual vegetation health surveys will be conducted and include photo point, quadrat and analogue/reference sites.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Administrative outcomes are not required**

Whilst DMIRS acknowledges the importance of maintaining administrative procedures, the purpose of setting outcomes in the Mining Proposal is to provide agreement between the proponent and DMIRS as to the level of environmental impact. Administrative outcomes are not required.

Table 3 Administrative outcomes are not required

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Factor</th>
<th>DMIRS Objective</th>
<th>Risk Pathways</th>
<th>Environmental Outcome</th>
<th>Performance Criteria</th>
<th>Monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systems and Approvals</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Failure to have required regulatory permits, licences or approvals in place.</td>
<td>All works undertaken in accordance with approvals.</td>
<td>No reportable regulatory non-compliances recorded.</td>
<td>Annual compliance audits and monthly site environmental inspections.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ineffective operational implementation of Project EMS, plans and procedures.</td>
<td>All required environmental outcomes identified. Compliance with all conditions of approval.</td>
<td>Any reportable incidents recorded.</td>
<td>Annual compliance audits and monthly site environmental inspections.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 1 – DETERMINING WHEN AN OUTCOME IS REQUIRED

Note 1: For Moderate risks you may only need to apply an outcome if the residual risk is still moderate post treatment.

Note 2: If treatments are applied which adequately eliminate the risk, then the environmental impacts may be avoided and an outcome may not be required.

Note 3: The Environmental Legislative Framework section of the Mining Proposal should clearly delineate which environmental aspects are directly regulated by another agency or legislation. Environmental outcomes for aspects that are regulated by other legislation do not necessarily need to be included in the Environmental Outcomes section of the Mining Proposal unless proponents feel greater clarity on this is required. It is recommended that these outcomes are listed in a separate table in the outcomes section of the Mining Proposal, so that it is clear to both the proponent and DMP.

Note 4: Specific, measurable, achievable, realistic and time bound (SMART)

Note 5: As per Section 3.10.1 of the MP Guideline.
Appendix 2 – writing smart performance criteria

Specific enough to reflect a unique set of environmental, social and economic circumstances. Is the goal explained with enough detail that it can be well understood by those involved in its completion and by any stakeholders?

Measurable to demonstrate that goals are trending towards analogue indices. How will those involved in completing the goal know it has been accomplished and how will stakeholders determine its success?

Achievable or realistic so that the criteria being measured are attainable;

Relevant to the outcomes that are being measured and the risks being managed and flexible enough to adapt to changing circumstances;

Time-bound so that the criteria can be monitored over an appropriate timeframe to ensure the results are robust and trending toward or meeting the outcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Factor</th>
<th>DMIRS Objective</th>
<th>Risk Pathway</th>
<th>Environmental Outcome</th>
<th>Performance Criteria</th>
<th>Monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landforms</td>
<td>Mining will not result in appreciable land degradation or the contamination or pollution of soils.</td>
<td>Contamination of soils as a result of hydrocarbon leakages or spillages.</td>
<td>No contamination of soils resulting from hydrocarbon spills/leaks.</td>
<td>No hydrocarbon spills outside of contained facilities from the plant and fuel storage/ refuelling areas over the life of the project. All other spills are controlled, contained and cleaned up within 24 hours.</td>
<td>• Site spills reporting, remediation and auditing procedure. • Environmental Incident Management System • Daily inspections of plant and workshops for spill during operations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specific: Yes, the objective of “No contamination of soils resulting from hydrocarbon spills/leaks” is clear, well defined and unambiguous.

Measurable: Yes, no spills outside contained facilities. All spills are cleaned up within 24 hours.

Achievable: Yes, it is up to the proponent to determine what is achievable.

Relevant: Yes, again it is up to the proponent to determine what is relevant, although justification for any specifics will need to be detailed and explained to DMIRS. Remember that all outcomes and performance criteria need to be agreed to by DMIRS.

Time-bound: Yes, spills cleaned up within 24 hours.
### Example Environmental Outcomes, Performance Criteria and Monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Factor</th>
<th>DMIRS Objective</th>
<th>Risk Pathway</th>
<th>Environmental Outcome</th>
<th>Performance Criteria</th>
<th>Monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biodiversity/Flora/Fauna/Ecosystem</strong></td>
<td>To maintain representation, diversity, viability and ecological function at the species, population and community levels.</td>
<td>Unauthorised clearing / ground disturbing activities resulting in the removal of conservation significant flora species outside of the disturbance envelope.</td>
<td>No unauthorised clearing of conservation significant flora species.</td>
<td>No clearing of native vegetation outside of the disturbance envelope.</td>
<td>GPS survey of disturbance areas on a quarterly basis for inclusion with AER/MRF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Landforms</strong></td>
<td>Mining will not result in appreciable land degradation or the contamination or pollution of soils.</td>
<td>Improper encapsulation of potentially acid forming (PAF) material during operations leading to acid metalliferous drainage (AMD).</td>
<td>No contamination of land or soil caused by AMD as a result of mining activities.</td>
<td>PAF cell is constructed as per design specifications in Attachment X. No PAF materials within the WRL are present outside the PAF containment cell.</td>
<td>PAF cell as-built report. Sulphur content monitoring of waste material.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Closure</strong></td>
<td>Mines are closed in a manner to make them (physically) safe to humans and animals, (geotechnically) stable, (geo-chemically) non-polluting/non-contaminating, and capable of sustaining an agreed post-mining land use, and without unacceptable liability to the State.</td>
<td>Exposure of sodic / dispersive materials in the waste dump leading to increased gully erosion and tunnelling, resulting in an unstable landform that disperses sediment into the surrounding environment.</td>
<td>All waste landforms and Tailings Storage Facilities (TSF) are safe, stable, non-polluting and non-contaminating. <strong>Note: this is the closure objective in the MCP</strong></td>
<td>Final landforms have been constructed according to approved design specifications including slopes, surface water and drainage design parameters, and erosion rates. <strong>Note: this is the completion criteria in the MCP</strong></td>
<td>Audit of constructed landforms for compliance with design specifications/required standards. Annual monitoring of erosion rates on rehabilitated landforms. <strong>Note: this is the measurement tool in the MCP</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

3 Where referring to management via an internal procedure or design (e.g. Materials Handling Plan, Design Specifications) these should be included as Appendices.
### Regulated by other agency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Factor</th>
<th>DMIRS Objective</th>
<th>Risk Pathway</th>
<th>Environmental Outcome</th>
<th>Performance Criteria</th>
<th>Monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water Resources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Discharge of dewater into Blackadder Creek leading to increased salinity, turbidity and heavy metal levels within creek and broader catchment, resulting in negative impacts to the ecological function of the creek.</td>
<td>Water quality within Blackadder Creek is not adversely impacted.</td>
<td>Adherence to Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) Licence Conditions</td>
<td>Monthly water quality monitoring at agreed locations in accordance with DWER licence requirements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>